

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|--|-----------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/432,855 | 11/02/1999 | DESMOND E. WONG | 0100.9901360 | 1672 |
| 23418 | 7590 05/20/2004 | | EXAMINER | |
| VEDDER PRICE KAUFMAN & KAMMHOLZ 222 N. LASALLE STREET | | | MENGISTU, AMARE | |
| CHICAGO, I | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 2673 | 10 |
| | | | DATE MAILED: 05/20/2004 | 3 |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

7

| 3 | Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|---|--|--|--------------------------------|
| Advisory Action | 09/432,855 | WONG, DESMOND E. | |
| <i>"</i> | Examiner | Art Unit | |
| | Amare Mengistu | 2673 | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence address | s |
| THE REPLY FILED 29 March 2004 FAILS TO PLACE TI Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to av final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may <u>only</u> be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. | oid abandonment of this application a timely filed amendment which | ation. A proper reply to h places the application | a n in |
| PERIOD FOR RE | PLY [check either a) or b)] | | |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3 months from the mailing date</u> | | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF TH | g date of the final rejection. HE FINAL REJECTION. See | MPEP |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the feet (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C | of extension and the corresponding amon the shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mai | ount of the fee. The appropriation of the fee. The appropriation or the final Office of the final Office o | ate extension ce action: or |
| 1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF | | | |
| 2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be | ecause: | | |
| (a) They raise new issues that would require further | er consideration and/or search (| see NOTE below); | |
| (b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b | elow); | ŕ | |
| (c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or | n better form for appeal by mate | rially reducing or simpli | fying the |
| (d) they present additional claims without canceling | ng a corresponding number of fi | inally rejected claims. | |
| NOTE: | | | |
| 3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject | ion(s): | | |
| 4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s). | be allowable if submitted in a se | eparate, timely filed amo | endment |
| 5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because: See | reconsideration has been consi | dered but does NOT pl | ace the |
| 6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection. | ause it is not directed SOLELY t | o issues which were ne | wly |
| 7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we | (s) a)⊡ will not be entered or b) ould be rejected is provided belo | ⊠ will be entered and w or appended. | an |
| The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: | | | |
| Claim(s) allowed: | | | |
| Claim(s) objected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: 1-13. | | | |
| Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| 8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr | oved or b) disapproved by the | he Examiner. | |
| 9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen | | | |
| 10. Other: | · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · | Amu Mengistu | 2e- |
| | | Primary Examiner Art Unit: 2673 | |
| Potent and Tradement Office | | | |

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 11-03) Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the same resonse set-forth in the last office action As to the Drawing objection, "the flat panel diplsy controller"; "internal timer" and "a clock counter" has been withdrawn. The reamining objection to the drawing has been maintained sicne fig.2 does not show that the connector coupled to the flat panel display As to the objection to the specification, Appliacnt did not provide the support in the specification for most of the objections..